

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN JOSE DIVISION
11

12 HENRY JIMENEZ, et al.,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 INSTATE LATH & PLASTER, INC.,

16 Defendant.
17

Case No.: C 10-05177 PSG

**ORDER THAT CASE BE
REASSIGNED TO A DISTRICT
JUDGE**

18 The initial case management conference in this case was originally set for January 25, 2011,
19 and the deadline for filing a case management statement was January 18, 2011. (*See* ADR
20 Scheduling Order.) As a result, the parties were required either to file written consent to the
21 jurisdiction of the magistrate judge or to request reassignment to a district judge, no later than
22 January 18, 2011. *See* Civ. L. R. 73-1(a)(1). The parties neither consented nor requested
23 reassignment.

24 This court subsequently extended the deadline for the parties to consent to magistrate judge
25 jurisdiction to January 25, 2011 and continued the case management conference to February 1, 2011.
26 (*See* Order Cont. Case Mgmt. Conf. and Setting Deadline for Parties Either To File Written Consent
27 to the Jurisdiction of Mag. Judge or To Req. Reassignment to District Judge, January 20, 2011.)
28 Again, the parties neither consented nor requested reassignment.

In light of the parties' repeated failure to provide consent to the jurisdiction of the

undersigned. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case now be reassigned to a district judge.

Dated: January 26, 2011


PAUL S. GREWAL
United States Magistrate Judge